1	LAURENCE PARADIS (California Bar No.	
2	STUART SEABORN (California Bar No. 19 MICHAEL S. NUNEZ (California Bar No. 2	
3	DISABILITY RIGHTS ADVOCATES 2001 Center Street, Third Floor Parkeless, CA 04704	
4	Berkeley, CA 94704 Telephone: (510) 665-8644 Facsimile: (510) 665-8511	
5	TTY: (510) 665-8716	
6	JAY KOSLOFSKY (California Bar No. 9702 LAW OFFICES OF JAY KOSLOFSKY	24)
7	P.O. Box 9236 Berkeley, CA 94709	
8	Telephone: (510) 280-5627 Fax: (510) 845-3707	
9	Attorneys for Plaintiffs	
10 11		
12	UNITED STAT	ES DISTRICT COURT
13	NORTHERN DIS	TRICT OF CALIFORNIA
14	OAKLA	AND DIVISION
15		
16	LIGHTHOUSE FOR THE BLIND AND VISUALLY IMPAIRED, on behalf of	No. 12-cv-00195 PJH
17	itself and all others similarly situated, ANGELA GRIFFITH, on behalf of herself	(PROPOSED) FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW REGARDING
18	and all others similarly situated, LISAMARIA MARTINEZ, on behalf of	PLAINTIFFS' APPLICATION FOR REASONABLE ATTORNEYS' FEES AND
19	herself and all others similarly situated, JOSH SAUNDERS, on behalf of himself and all others similarly situated, SHANA	COSTS
20	RAY, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated, and JENNIFER	
21	WESTBROOK, on behalf of herself and all others similarly situated,	
22	Plaintiffs,	
23	V.	
24	REDBOX AUTOMATED RETAIL, LLC,	
25	AND SAVE MART SUPERMARKETS,	
26	Defendants.	
27 28		
۷٥	Lighthouse for the Blind, et al., v. Redbox Retail, et al.	al., Case No.: C12-00195 PJH

Lighthouse for the Blind, et al., v. Redbox Retail, et al., Case No.: C12-00195 PJH (PROPOSED) FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW ISO PLAINTIFFS' APPLICATION FOR ATTORNEYS' FEES AND COSTS

[PROPOSED] FINDINGS OF FACT AND CONCLUSIONS OF LAW

Plaintiffs have applied to the Court for an order approving attorneys' fees and reimbursement of litigation costs to Class Counsel in the amount of \$800,000, which includes: attorneys' fees in the amount of \$690,000 for Class Counsel's work on the case from its inception through final approval of the Settlement Agreement ("Agreement"); \$75,000 in attorneys' fees and costs for monitoring and enforcing the terms the Agreement, and \$35,000 in litigation costs. Defendants Redbox Automated Retail, LLC ("Redbox") and Save Mart Supermarkets ("Save Mart") do not oppose the motion, and these are the amounts contained in the Agreement between Plaintiffs and Defendants. Having read the papers submitted and carefully considered the arguments and relevant legal authority, and good cause appearing, the Court GRANTS Plaintiffs' Motion for Reasonable Attorneys' Fees and Costs and finds and rules as follows:

NOW, THEREFORE, IT IS HEREBY ORDERED:

- 1. The Court finds that Plaintiffs have submitted sufficient evidence supporting their claim for reasonable attorneys' fees and costs, and hereby approves the settlement of attorneys' fees and costs in the amount of \$800,000 for work performed on this matter, as stated in Section 14 of the Agreement, which includes: attorneys' fees in the amount of \$690,000 for Class Counsel's work on the case through final approval of the agreement, \$75,000 for monitoring and enforcing the terms of the Agreement after Final Approval, and \$35,000 in litigation costs.
- 2. The Court finds that Plaintiffs have provided sufficient evidence, including declarations from practitioners in the field, supporting the reasonableness of their 2014 requested hourly rates. The Court finds that the requested hourly rates correspond to the prevailing market rate in the relevant community, considering the experience, skill, and reputation of the attorneys in question.
 - 3. The Court hereby approves the following 2014 hourly rates as reasonable.

Name	Year of Graduation	Rate
Laurence Paradis	1985	\$845
Shawna Parks	1999	\$690

\$675 \$350 \$825 \$270 \$255 \$195 sufficient evidence of the time the claims, and that this time elitigation. The Court also iciently given the needs of the h awards of attorneys' fees and
\$825 \$270 \$255 \$195 sufficient evidence of the time the claims, and that this time elitigation. The Court also iciently given the needs of the
\$270 \$255 \$195 sufficient evidence of the time the claims, and that this time elitigation. The Court also iciently given the needs of the
\$255 \$195 sufficient evidence of the time the claims, and that this time elitigation. The Court also iciently given the needs of the
\$195 sufficient evidence of the time the claims, and that this time e litigation. The Court also iciently given the needs of the
sufficient evidence of the time the claims, and that this time litigation. The Court also iciently given the needs of the
the claims, and that this time elitigation. The Court also iciently given the needs of the
thin forty-five days of the
strict Court

28